Notes for climate dynamics course (EPS 231)

Eli Tziperman

May 12, 2017

Contents

| 1 | Intr | oduction | 2 |
|---|------|--|----|
| 2 | Basi | ics, energy balance, multiple climate equilibria | 2 |
| | 2.1 | Multiple equilibria, climate stability, greenhouse | 2 |
| | 2.2 | (Time permitting:) Small ice cap instability | |
| 3 | ENS | 80 | 4 |
| | 3.1 | ENSO background and delay oscillator models | 4 |
| | 3.2 | ENSO's irregularity | 5 |
| | | 3.2.1 Chaos | |
| | | 3.2.2 Noise | 6 |
| | 3.3 | Teleconnections | 6 |
| 4 | The | rmohaline circulation | 8 |
| | 4.1 | Phenomenology, Stommel box model | 8 |
| | 4.2 | Scaling and energetics | |
| | 4.3 | Convective oscillations | |
| | 4.4 | Stochastically driven MOC variability | |
| | 4.5 | (Time permitting:) More on stochastic variability | |
| 5 | Dan | sgaard-Oeschger and Heinrich events | 13 |
| 6 | Glad | cial cycles | 14 |
| _ | 6.1 | Overview and basics | 14 |
| | 6.2 | Ice dynamics preliminaries | |
| | o | 6.2.1 Intro to ice dynamics | |
| | | 6.2.2 Parabolic profile of ice sheets | |
| | | 6.2.3 Shallow ice approximation | |
| | 6.3 | | 17 |
| | 6.4 | Glacial cycle mechanisms | 17 |
| | O. 1 | Oncom of the mediamonia | 1 |

| | 6.5 CO_2 | 19 | | |
|----|---|----|--|--|
| 7 | Pliocene climate | 19 | | |
| 8 | Equable climate | 19 | | |
| | 8.1 Equator to pole Hadley cell | 20 | | |
| | 8.2 Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) | 20 | | |
| | 8.3 Hurricanes and ocean mixing | 21 | | |
| | 8.4 Convective cloud feedback | 22 | | |
| 9 | Data analysis tools | 23 | | |
| 10 | Review of nonlinear dynamics concepts covered in the course | | | |

1 Introduction

This is an evolving detailed syllabus of EPS 231, see course web page, all course materials are available under the downloads directory. If accessing from outside campus or via the university wireless network, you will need to connect via the Harvard VPN.

Homework assignments (every 9-10 days) are 50% of final grade, and a final course project constitutes the remaining 50%. We strongly encourage you to discuss and work on homework problems and collaborate on solving them with other students (and with the teaching staff, of course), but you must write your final answers in your own words. You should ensure that any written work you submit for evaluation reflects your own work and your own understanding of the topic. There is an option to take this course as a pass/fail with approval of instructor.

2 Basics, energy balance, multiple climate equilibria

Downloads available here.

2.1 Multiple equilibria, climate stability, greenhouse

- 1. energy_balance_0d.pdf with the graphical solutions of the steady state solution to the equation $CT_t = (Q/4)(1-\alpha(T)) \varepsilon \sigma T^4$ obtained using energy_balance_0d.m, and then the quicktime animation of the bifurcation behavior.
- 2. Some nonlinear dynamics background: saddle node bifurcation ((p 45, Strogatz, 1994) or Applied Math 203 notes p 47), and then the energy balance model as two back to back saddle nodes and the resulting hysteresis as the insolation is varied;
- 3. Climate implications: (1) faint young sun paradox! (2) snowball (snowball obs from Ed Boyle's lecture);

- 4. 2-level greenhouse model (notes) and slide on actual mechanism (pptx).
- 5. More 1d bifurcations: transcritical and pitchfork, same notes as above.

2.2 (Time permitting:) Small ice cap instability

- 1. Introduction: The Budyko and Sellers 1d models Simple diffusive energy balance models produce an abrupt disappearance of polar ice as the global climate gradually warms, and a corresponding hysteresis. The SICI eliminates polar ice caps smaller than a critical size (18 deg from pole) determined by heat diffusion and radiative damping parameters. Below this size the ice cap is incapable of determining its own climate which then becomes dominated, instead, by heat transport from surrounding regions. (Above wording from Winton (2006), teaching notes below based on North et al. (1981)).
- 2. Derive the 1d energy balance model for the diffusive and Budyko versions (eqns 22 and 33): Assume the ice cap extends where the temperature is less than -10C, the ice-free areas have an absorption (one minus albedo) of $a(x) = a_f$, and in the ice-covered areas $a(x) = a_i$; approximate the latitudinal structure of the annual mean insolation as function of latitude using $S(x) = 1 + S_2 P_2(x)$, with $P_2(x) = (3x^2 1)/3$ being the second Legendre polynomial (HW); add the transport term represented by diffusion $(r^2 \cos \theta)^{-1} \partial/\partial \theta (D \cos \theta \partial T/\partial \theta)$, which, using $x = \sin \theta$, $1 x^2 = \cos^2 \theta$ and $d/dx = (1/\cos \theta)d/d\theta$ gives the result in the final steady state 1D equation (22),

$$-\frac{d}{dx}D(1-x^{2})\frac{dT(x)}{dx} + A + BT(x) = QS(x)a(x,x_{0}).$$

as boundary condition, use the symmetry condition that dT/dx = 0 at the equator, leading to only the even Legendre polynomials.

- 3. (Time permitting:) Alternatively to the above, we could model the transport term a-la Budyko as $\gamma[T(x) T_0]$ with T_0 being the temperature averaged over all latitudes, and the temperature then can be solved analytically (HW).
- 4. How steady state solution is calculated: eqns 22-29; then 15 and 37, the equation just before 37 and the 4 lines in the paragraph before these equations. Result is Fig. 8: plot of Q (solar intensity) as function of x_s (edge of ice cap). Analysis of results: see highlighted Fig. 8 in sources directory, unstable small ice cap (which cannot sustain its own climate against heat diffusion from mid-latitudes), unstable very-large ice cap (which is too efficient at creating its own cold global climate and grows to a snowball), and stable mid-size cap (where we are now).
- 5. Heuristic explanation of SICI: Compare Figs 6 and 8 in North et al. (1981), SICI appears only when diffusion is present. It is therefore due to the above mentioned mechanism: competition between diffusion and radiation. To find the scale of the small cap: it survives as long

as the radiative effect dominates diffusion: $BT \sim DT/L^2$, implying that $L \sim \sqrt{D/B}$. Units: $[D] = \text{watts/(degree K} \times \text{m}^2)$ (page 96), $[B] = \text{m}^2/\text{sec}$ (p 93), so that [L] is non dimensional. That's fine because it is in units of sine of latitude. Size comes out around 20 degrees from pole, roughly size of present-day sea ice!

- 6. The important lesson(!): p 95 in North et al. (1981), left column second paragraph, apologizing for the (correct...) prediction of a snowball state.
- 7. This is a complex PDE (infinite number of degrees of freedom), displaying a simple bifurcation structure. In such case we are guaranteed by the central manifold and normal form theorems that it can be transformed to the normal form of a saddle node near the appropriate place in parameter space. First, transformed to center manifold and get an equation independent of stable and unstable manifolds (first page of lecture_04_cntr_mnfld.pdf); next, transform to normal form within center manifold (lecture_03_bif1d2.pdf, p 89).
- 8. (Time permitting:) Numerically calculated hysteresis in 1D Budyko and Sellers models: figures ebm1d-budyko.jpg and ebm1d-sellers.jpg obtained using ebm_1dm.m).
- 9. (Time permitting:) One noteworthy difference between Budyko and Sellers is the transient behavior, with Budyko damping all scales at the same rate, and Sellers being scale-selective. (original references are Budyko, 1969; Sellers, 1969).

3 ENSO

Downloads available here.

3.1 ENSO background and delay oscillator models

Sources: Woods Hole (WH) notes (Cessi et al., 2001), lectures 0, 1, 2, here, plus the following: Gill's atmospheric model solution from Dijkstra (2000) technical box 7.2 p 347; recharge oscillator from Jin (1997) (section 2, possibly also section 3);

- The climatological background: easterlies, walker circulation, warm pool and cold tongue, thermocline slope (ppt, and lecture 1 from WH notes).
- Dynamical basics (WH lecture 1): reduced gravity equations on an equatorial beta plane (note error in derivation of $\nabla_H p_2$ in notes, where the second parenthesis in the expression for p_2 should include H_1 , not H_2). Equatorial Rossby and Kelvin waves, thermocline slope, SST dynamics, atmospheric heating and wind response to SST from Gill's model. The coupled ocean-atmosphere feedback.
- The heuristic delayed oscillator equation from section 2.1 in WH notes. One detail to note regarding how do we transition from $+\hat{b}h_{\text{off-eq}}(t-[\frac{1}{2}\tau_R+\tau_K])$ to $-\bar{b}\tau_{eq}(t-[\frac{1}{2}\tau_R+\tau_K])$ and then to $-bT(t-[\frac{1}{2}\tau_R+\tau_K])$: $h_{\text{off-eq}}$ depends on the Ekman pumping off the equator. In the

northern hemisphere, if the wind curl is positive, the Ekman pumping is positive, upward $(w_E = \text{curl}(\vec{\tau}/f)/\rho)$, and the induced thermocline depth anomaly is therefore negative (a shallowing signal). The wind curl may be approximated in terms of the equatorial wind only (larger than the off-equatorial wind), consider the northern hemisphere:

$$h_{\rm off-eq} \propto -w_{\rm off-eq}^{Ekman} \propto -{\rm curl}(\tau_{\rm off-eq}) \approx \partial_y \tau_{\rm off-eq}^{(x)} \approx (\tau_{\rm off-eq}^{(x)} - \tau_{\rm eq}^{(x)})/L \propto -\tau_{\rm eq}^{(x)}.$$

Finally, as the east Pacific temperature is increasing, the wind anomaly in the central Pacific is westerly (positive), leading to the minus sign in front of the *T* term,

$$-\tau_{\text{eq}}^{(x)}(t-[\frac{1}{2}\tau_R+\tau_K])/L \propto -T(t-[\frac{1}{2}\tau_R+\tau_K]).$$

- Next, the linearized stability analysis of the Schopf-Suarez delayed oscillator from the WH notes section 2.1.1. The dispersion relation in the WH notes is $\sigma = 1 3\bar{T}^2 \alpha \exp(-\sigma \delta)$. Its real part is $0 = \sigma_R (1 3\bar{T}^2 \alpha \exp(-\sigma_R \delta)\cos(-\sigma_I \delta))$, and its imaginary part is $0 = \sigma_I (-\alpha \exp(-\sigma_R \delta)\sin(-\sigma_I \delta))$. The following image shows the roots for an example with two unstable roots and many stable ones. Show time series of numerical solution of this model for values on both sides of the first bifurcation (damped and self-sustained) point, obtained using delay_Schopf_Suarez_1989.m.
- Self-sustained vs damped: nonlinear damping term and, more importantly, the proximity of ENSO to the first bifurcation point beyond steady state, discuss Hopf bifurcation from non linear dynamics notes pp 26-28 here or Strogatz (1994).
- (Time permitting:) A more quantitative derivation of delay oscillator, starting from the shallow water equations and using Jin's two-strip approximation (WH notes, section 2.2).

3.2 ENSO's irregularity

3.2.1 Chaos

- ENSO phase locking to seasonal cycle: flows on a circle, synchronization/ phase locking, Huygens clocks, firefly and flashlight example from Strogatz. Connection to ENSO and the seasonal cycle.
- ENSO irregularity as chaos driven by the seasonal cycle: circle map and quasi-periodicity route to chaos:

$$\theta_{n+1} = f(\theta_n) = \theta_n + \Omega - \frac{K}{2\pi} \sin 2\pi \theta_n, \quad \theta_n = mod(1)$$

K = 0 and quasi-periodicity, 0 < K < 1 and phase locking, Arnold tongues; K = 1 and the devil's staircase. K > 1, overlapping of resonances and chaos. Transition from circle map

to pendulum and to delayed oscillator driven by seasonal cycle. (Time permitting:) winding number: $\lim_{n\to\infty} (f^n(\theta_0) - \theta_0)$, not taking θ_n as mod(1) for this calculation, Farey tree

- (Time permitting:) Some generalities on identifying quasi-periodicity route to chaos in a complex system, including delay coordinate phase space reconstruction.
- References for phase locking: Strogatz (1994), for quasi-periodicity route to chaos: Schuster (1989). For both: course notes for applied math 203, pages 71,75,77-83 in lecture_03_bif1d2_eli.pdf. delay coordinate phase space reconstruction in lecture_07_bif2d3_eli.pdf.
- (Time permitting:) Slides on transition to chaos in CZ model.

3.2.2 Noise

- Non normal amplification (similar to WH notes, but maximizing $\Psi(\tau)^T \Psi(\tau)$ instead of $|d\Psi(t=0)/dt|^2$ as described in WH notes.): transient growth; geometric view using a 2×2 example of $d\mathbf{x}/dt = A\mathbf{x}$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x,y)$, with eigenvectors on unit circle, derivation of optimal i.c that maximize $\mathbf{x}(\tau)^T \mathbf{x}(\tau)$ as first eigenvector of $B^T B$ where B is the propagator $B = \exp(A\tau)$; corresponding eigenvalue is the amplification factor from the initial conditions to the amplified state.
- WWBs in observations: seem stochastic, seen to precede each El Nino event, affect Pacific by forcing of equatorial Kelvin waves. Show Hovmoller diagram with WWBs and SST from Yu et al. (2003), in jpg file; wind stress sequence showing WWB evolution from Vecchi and Harrison (1997) (Figs on pages 41, 42, 43 at the end of this report); effects of wind bursts on SST and thermocline depth (heat content) from Mcphaden and Yu (1999) Figs 1,2,3 (last one is model results); ocean-only model response to a strong WWB: Zhang and Rothstein (1998), Figs. 4, 5, showing the response to a wind burst after 10 days and after several months;
- Stochastic optimals: mathematical details are covered below in the context of MOC/THC variability. Here, introduce the concept without derivation, and then discuss: Do WWBs look like stochastic optimals? Show stochastic optimals in different models and discuss their model dependence, using Figs. 11, 12 and 17 from Moore and Kleeman (2001). Then: Are WWBs actually stochastic, or are their statistics a strong function of the SST (Fig. 2, Tziperman and Yu, 2007), making the stochastic element less relevant?

3.3 Teleconnections

- 1. Motivation for ENSO teleconnection: show pdf copy of impacts page from El Nino theme page.
- 2. Further motivation: results of barotropic model runs from Hoskins and Karoly (1981) Figures 3,4,6,8,9, showing global propagation of waves due to tropical disturbances.

- 3. General ray tracing theory based on notes-ray-tracing.pdf. Note that this is a non-rigorous derivation, not using multiple-scale analysis.
- 4. Derivation of dispersion relation Rossby wave in presence of a mean zonal flow. In Cartesian coordinates, start from potential vorticity conservation, $(\partial_t + u\partial_x + v\partial_y)(v_x u_y + \beta y) = 0$; let u = U(y) + u', v = v', and linearize, to find $(\partial_t + U\partial_x)(v'_x u'_y) + (\beta U_{yy})v' = 0$; introduce stream function $v' = \psi_x$, $u' = -\psi_y$ and effective beta $\beta_{\text{eff}} = \beta U_{yy}$ to find $(\partial_t + U\partial_x)\nabla^2\psi + \beta_{\text{eff}}\psi_x = 0$; then mention HK1981 paper which uses the same equation, but in spherical coordinates using Mercator projection: see beginning of subsection 5b, equations 5.1-5.16. Because the mean flow and therefore the dispersion relation are x and t-independent, we have k =constant and ω =constant along a ray, while $d_g l/dt \equiv (\partial_t + \mathbf{c}_g \cdot \nabla) l = -\Omega_y$ and $d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{c}_g$.
- 5. Qualitative discussion based on dispersion relation, from Hoskins and Karoly (1981) after solution 5.23. Start from dispersion relation for stationary waves, $0 = \omega = \bar{u}_M k \frac{\beta_M k}{k^2 + l^2}$, and define $K_s = (\beta_M/u_M)^{1/2} = k^2 + l^2$. Based on these only, discuss trapping by jet. Note that if $k > K_s$ then l must be imaginary. Therefore, as the wave propagates northward from the low latitude, with a constant k while K_s gets smaller due to changes in mean flow and effective beta (Fig. 13a,b), this implies evanescent behavior in latitude past a critical latitude, and trapping of the ray at the critical latitude.
- 6. Next, see Jeff Shaman's description for why the ray is reflected rather than being trapped at the critical latitude due to prognostic dl/dt equation allowing dl/dt < 0 when l = 0, leading to the ray turning back south.
- 7. To get some idea of the amplitude of the propagating waves, assume the meridional wavenumber varies slowly in latitude, $l = l(\varepsilon y)$, corresponding to a medium that varies slowly, on a scale longer than the wavelength. Use the WKB solution (Bender and Orszag (1978) section 10.1): start with equation 5.18. Substituting into 5.9 this leads to $d^2P/dy^2 + l^2(\varepsilon y)P = 0$ for $l^2(\varepsilon y)$ defined in 5.20; to transform to standard WKB form, define $Y = \varepsilon y$ so that $\varepsilon^2 d^2P/dY^2 + l^2(Y)P = 0$; try a WKB solution corresponding to a wave-like exponential with a rapidly varying phase plus a slower correction $P = \exp(S_0(Y)/\delta + S_1(Y))$ to find

$$\varepsilon^{2}[(S_{0}'/\delta + S_{1}')^{2} + (S_{0}''/\delta + S_{1}'')]P + l^{2}P = 0;$$

let $\delta = \varepsilon$ and then O(1) equation is ${S_0'}^2 + l^2(Y) = 0$ so that $S_0 = i \int l(Y) \, dY$ (if l^2 is nearly constant, this simply reduces to the usual wave solution e^{ily}). Next, consider $O(\varepsilon)$ equation which, after using the O(1) equation, is $2S_0'S_1' + S_0'' = 0$ and the solution is $S_1' = -S_0''/(2S_0') = -(dl/dY)/(2l) = -d/dY(\ln l^{1/2})$ so that $S_1 = \ln l^{-1/2}$ which means that the wave amplitude is $l^{-1/2}$. This gives the solution in Hoskins and Karoly (1981) equation (5.21, 5.23), see further discussion there.

8. Show rays for constant angular momentum flow, $\bar{u}_M \equiv U/\cos\phi = \bar{\omega}a$, $\beta_M = (2\cos^2\phi)(\Omega + \bar{\omega})/a$ (section 5c, page 1192, Fig. 12) and then the one using realistic zonal flows (Figs. 13,

14, 15, etc);

- 9. Finally, mention that later works showed that stationary linear barotropic Rossby waves excite nonlinear eddy effects which may eventually dominate the teleconnection effects.
- 10. (Time permitting:) For baroclinic atmospheric waves, $0 = \omega = \Omega(k, l, y) = \bar{u}_M k \frac{\beta_M k}{k^2 + l^2 + L_R^{-2}}$, so that $K_s = (\beta_M/u_M)^{1/2} L_R^{-2} = k^2 + l^2$, and they are more easily trapped, and are going to be trapped at the equator with a scale of the Rossby radius of deformation which is some 1000km or so (see discussion on page 1195 left column).

4 Thermohaline circulation

Downloads available here.

4.1 Phenomenology, Stommel box model

- Background, schematics of THC, animations of CFCs in ocean, sections and profiles of T, S from here, meridional mass and heat transport, climate relevance; RAPID measurements (Cunningham et al., 2007); anticipated response during global warming; MOC vs THC.
- Stommel model: mixed boundary conditions, Stommel-Taylor model notes; Qualitative discussion on proximity of present day THC to a stability threshold (Tziperman, 1997; Toggweiler et al., 1996). And again the surprising ability of simple models to predict/ explain GCM results (Fig. 2 from Rahmstorf, 1995). See also (Dijkstra, 2000, section 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3).

4.2 Scaling and energetics

- 1. (Time permitting:) Scaling for the amplitude and depth of the THC from Vallis (2006) chapter 15, section 15.1, showing that the THC amplitude is a function of the vertical mixing which in turn is due to turbulence. Mention only briefly the issue of the "no turbulence theorem" and importance of mechanical forcing (details in the next section, not to be covered explicitly).
- 2. (Time permitting:) Energetics, Sandstrom theorem stating that "heating must occur, on average, at a lower level than the cooling, in order that a steady circulation may be maintained against the regarding effects of friction" (eqn 15.23 Vallis, 2006). The "no turbulence theorem" in the absence of mechanical forcing by wind and tides (eqn 15.27) without mechanical mixing, and (15.30) with; hence the importance of mechanical energy/ mechanical forcing for the maintenance of turbulence and of the THC. Sections 15.2, 15.3 (much of this material is originally from Paparella and Young, 2002);

3. (Time permitting:) Tidal energy as a source for mixing energy (Munk and Wunsch, 1998, Figures 4,5).

4.3 Convective oscillations

- Advective feedback and convective feedback from sections 6.2.1, 6.2.2 in Dijkstra (2000), both show why we expect temperature and salinity to play independent roles.
- Flip-flop oscillations (Welander1982_flip_flop.m) and loop oscillations (Dijkstra, 2000, section 6.2.3);
- (Time permitting:) Multiple convective equilibria from Lenderink and Haarsma (1994), hysteresis from Fig. 8 in this paper, and "potentially convective" regions in their GCM from Fig. 11. When put in the regime with no steady states, this model shows flip-flop oscillations like Welander's, without the need to prescribe an artificial convection threshold.
- Analysis of relaxation oscillations following Strogatz (1994) example 7.5.1 pages 212-213, or nonlinear dynamics course notes: slow phase and fast phases.
- (Note:) Winton's deep decoupling oscillations are covered below as part of DO events. They are similar to the Welander's flip-flop oscillations, except that the convection also affects the overturning circulation leading to strong MOC variability/ relaxation oscillations.

4.4 Stochastically driven MOC variability

A review of classes of THC oscillations: small amplitude/ large amplitude; linear and stochastically forced/ nonlinear self-sustained; loop oscillations due to advection around the THC path, or periodic switches between convective and non convective states; relaxation oscillations; noise induced switches between steady state, stochastic resonance;

Details of noise-driven THC/MOC variability:

- 1. Linear Loop-oscillations due to advection around the circulation path:
 - (a) Linearized stability analysis (write equations, explain linearization, writing of linearized equations in matrix form) and bifurcations (section 6.2.4 in Dijkstra (2000) or Figs 3, 4 from Tziperman et al. (1994)); Stability regimes in a 4-box model: stable, stable oscillatory, [Hopf bifurcation], unstable oscillatory, unstable; Note changes from 2-box Stommel model: oscillatory behavior and change to the point of instability on the bifurcation diagram; Stochastic forcing can excite this damped oscillatory variability.
 - (b) The GCM study of Delworth et al. (1993) (DMS), Figs. 4, 5, 6, 8; this paper also demonstrates the link between the variability of meridional density gradients and of the THC; Note the proposed role of changes to the gyre circulation in this paper, mention related mechanisms based on ocean mid-latitude Rossby wave propagation;

- (c) A box model fit to the DMS GCM, showing that the horizontal gyre variability may not be critical and that the variability is due to the excitation of a damped oscillatory mode (Fig. 6, Griffies and Tziperman, 1995);
- (d) Useful and interesting analysis methods in DMS: composites (Figs. 6,7), and regression analysis between scalar indices (Figs. 8,9) and between scalar indices and fields (Figs. 10, 11, 12).
- 2. A complementary view of the above stochastic excitation of damped THC oscillatory mode: first, Hasselmann's model driven by white noise and leading to a red spectrum response (to derive the spectrum of the response, Fourier transform the first equation, and multiply transformed equation and its complex conjugate).

$$\dot{x} + \gamma x = \xi(t)$$

$$P(\omega) = |\hat{x}|^2 = \xi_0^2 / (\omega^2 + \gamma^2).$$

Compare this to a damped oscillatory mode excited by noise that results in a spectral peak, using the following derivation of the spectral response,

$$\begin{split} \ddot{x} + \gamma \dot{x} + \Omega^2 x &= \xi(t) \\ - \omega^2 \hat{x} - i \gamma \omega \hat{x} + \Omega^2 \hat{x} &= \xi_0 \\ \hat{x} &= \xi_0 / (\Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i \gamma \omega) = \xi_0 (\Omega^2 - \omega^2 + i \gamma \omega) / ((\Omega^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \gamma^2 \omega^2) \\ \hat{x}^* &= \xi_0 (\Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i \gamma \omega) / ((\Omega^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \gamma^2 \omega^2) \\ P(\omega) &= |\hat{x}|^2 = \hat{x} \hat{x}^* = \frac{\xi_0^2}{(\Omega^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \gamma^2 \omega^2}. \end{split}$$

- 3. Stochastic variability due to noise induced transitions between steady states. First, the GCM study showing jumping between three very different MOC equilibria under sufficiently strong stochastic forcing: Figs. 2, 4 from Weaver and Hughes (1994). Then Fig. 5 from Cessi (1994) showing a careful analysis of the same type of variability in the Stommel box model.
- 4. (Time permitting:) details of Cessi analysis: Stommel 2 box model from section 2 with model derivation and in particular getting to eqn 2.9 with temperature fixed and salinity difference satisfying an equation of a particle on a double potential surface; section 3 with deterministic perturbation;
- 5. Stochastic resonance: periodic FW forcing plus noise. Matlab code Stommel_stochastic_resonance.m, and jpeg figures with results: Stochastic_Resonance_a, b, c.jpg;
- 6. (Time permitting:) THC oscillations due to "Thermal" Rossby waves analyzed by Te Raa and Dijkstra (2002), using equations 8-10 and Figure 7 of Zanna et al. (2011).

7. Stochastic forcing, non normal THC dynamics, transient amplification; first the basic derivation: Stochastic optimals: the derivation from Tziperman and Ioannou (2002): consider a stochastically forced linear system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}} = A\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{f}(t)$$

solution is

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau) = e^{A\tau}\mathbf{P}(0) + \int_0^{\tau} ds \, e^{A(\tau - s)}\mathbf{f}(s) = B(\tau, 0)\mathbf{P}(0) + \int_0^{\tau} ds \, B(\tau, s)\mathbf{f}(s)$$

where the first term is a response to the initial conditions which decays in time and can therefore ignored, and the second is the response to the stochastic forcing. Assuming for simplicity a zero-mean state variable $\mathbf{P}(t)$, the variance is given by the following expression (summation convention assumed),

$$var(\|\mathbf{P}\|) = \langle P_i(\tau)P_i(\tau)\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \int_0^{\tau} ds \int_0^{\tau} dt B_{il}(\tau, s) f_l(s) B_{in}(\tau, t) f_n(t) \right\rangle$$

$$= \int_0^{\tau} ds \int_0^{\tau} dt B_{il}(\tau, s) B_{in}(\tau, t) \langle f_l(s) f_n(t) \rangle$$

Specifying the noise statistics as separable in space and time, letting the *i*th component of the noise be, say, $f_iv(t)$, and with $C_{ln} = f_lf_n$ being the noise spatial correlation matrix and $D(t-s) = \langle v(t)v(s) \rangle$ the temporal correlation function (delta function for white noise),

$$\langle f_l(s)f_n(t)\rangle = C_{ln}D(t-s)$$

we have

$$var(||P||) = \int_0^{\tau} ds \int_0^{\tau} dt B_{il}(\tau, s) B_{in}(\tau, t) C_{ln} D(t - s)$$

$$= Tr \left(\int_0^{\tau} ds \int_0^{\tau} dt [B^T(\tau, s) B(\tau, t) D(t - s)] C \right)$$

$$\equiv Tr(ZC)$$

This implies that the most efficient way to excite the variance is to make the noise spatial structure be the first eigenvector of Z. To show this, show that eigenvectors of Z maximize $J = Tr(CZ) = Z_{ij}C_{ji}$; given the above expression for $C_{ij} = f_if_j$ and we need to maximize $Z_{ij}f_if_j + \lambda(1 - f_kf_k)$; differentiating with respect to f_n we get that $\mathbf{f} = \{f_n\}$ is an eigenvector of the matrix Z. The vector that maximizes the variance is, as usual, the one corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of Z.

Then more specifically to THC/MOC: The 3-box model of Tziperman and Ioannou (2002),

or the spatially resolved 2d model of Zanna and Tziperman (2005)). Figures for the amplification and mechanism, taken from a talk on this subject (file nonormal_THC.pdf).

- 8. (Time permitting:) A more general issue that comes up in this application of transient amplification is the treatment of singular norm kernel (appendix) and infinite amplification; show and explain the first mechanism of amplification (Figure 2); note how limited the amplification may actually be in this mechanism.
- 9. (Time permitting:) Use of eigenvectors for finding the instability mechanism: linearize, solve eigenvalue problem, substitute spatial structure of eigenvalue into equations and see which equations provide the positive/ negative feedbacks; results for THC problem (e.g., Tziperman et al., 1994, section 3): destabilizing role of $v'\nabla\bar{S}$ and stabilizing role of $\bar{v}\nabla S'$; difference in stability mechanism in upper ocean $(v'\nabla\bar{S})$ vs that of the deep ocean (where $\nabla\bar{S}=0$ and $\bar{v}\nabla S'$ is dominant); for temperature, also $(v'\nabla\bar{T})$ is more important, but from the eigenvectors one can see that v' is dominated by salinity effects; GCM verification and the distance of present-day THC from stability threshold: Figs 4,5,6 from Tziperman et al. (1994); Fig 3 from Toggweiler et al. (1996); Figs 1, 2, 3 from Tziperman (1997).

4.5 (Time permitting:) More on stochastic variability

• As a preparation for the rest of this class: the derivation of diffusion equation for Brownian motion following Einstein's derivation from Gardiner (1983) section 1.2.1; next, justify the drift term heuristically; then, derivation Fokker-Plank equation from Rodean (1996), chapter 5; Note that equation 5.17 has a typo, where the LHS should be $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{\tau}(y_3|y_1)$; Then, first passage time for homogeneous processes from Gardiner (1983) section 5.2.7 equations 5.2.139-5.2.150; 5.2.153-5.2.158; then the one absorbing boundary (section b) and explain the relation of this to the escape over the potential barrier, where the potential barrier is actually an absorbing boundary, with equations 5.2.162-5.2.165; Note that 5.2.165 from Gardiner (1983) is identical to equation 4.7 from Cessi (1994); Next, random telegraph processes are explained in Gardiner (1983) section 3.8.5, including the correlation function for such a process; Cessi (1994) takes the Fourier transform of these correlation functions to obtain the spectrum in the limit of large jumps, for which the double well potential problem is similar to the random telegraph problem.

Next, back to Cessi (1994) section 4: equation 4.4 (Fokker-Planck), 4.6 and Fig. 6 (the stationary solution for the pdf); then the expressions for the mean escape time (4.7) and the rest of the equations all the way to end of section 4, including the random telegraph process and the steady probabilities for this process;

Finally, from section 5 of Cessi (1994) with the solutions for the spectrum in the regime of small noise (linearized dynamics) and larger noise (random telegraph); For the solution in the small noise regime (equation 5.3), let $y' = y - y_a$ and then Fourier transform the equation to get $-i\omega\hat{y}' = -V_{yy}\hat{y} + \hat{p}'$ where hat stands for Fourier transform; then write the complex conjugate of this equation, multiply them together using the fact that the spectrum is $S_a(w) = -i\omega\hat{y}' = -i\omega\hat{y}' + i\omega\hat{y}' + i\omega\hat{y}'$

- $\hat{y}'\hat{y}'^{\dagger}$ to get equation 5.5; Show the fit to the numerical spectrum of the stochastically driven Stommel model, Figure 7;
- Zonally averaged models and closures to 2d models (Dijkstra, 2000, section 6.6.2, pages 282-286, including technical box 6.3); Atmospheric feedbacks (Marotzke, 1996)?

5 Dansgaard-Oeschger and Heinrich events

Downloads here.

Item numbering in the following outline correspond to file numbering in downloads directory.

- 1 Introduction, observed record of Heinrich and DO events: IRD, Greenland warming events, possible relation between the two; synchronous collapses? or maybe not? Use Figures of obs from Heinrich_slides.pdf
- 2 **Winton model:** Convection, air-sea and slow diffusion: Relaxation oscillations/ Thermohaline flushes/ "deep decoupling" oscillations (Winton, 1993, section IV).
- 3 **THC flushes and DO events:** DO explained by large amplitude THC changes (Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, 2001); from this paper, show figs 1,2,3,5: hysteresis diagrams for modern and glacial climates demonstrating the ease of making a transition between the two THC states in glacial climate; time series of THC during DO events; these oscillations are basically the same as Winton's deep decoupling oscillations and flushes (pp 427-428 including Fig. 7 in Winton (1993), see also under THC variability).
- 4 Alternatively, sea ice as an amplifier of small amplitude THC variability: Preliminaries: sea ice albedo and insulating feedbacks; volume vs area in present-day climate (i.e., typical sea ice thickness in Arctic and Southern Ocean); simple model equation for sea ice volume (eqn numbers from Sayag et al., 2004): sea ice melting and formation (18), short wave induced melting (19 and 3rd term on rhs in 20), sea ice volume equation (20); climate feedbacks: insulating feedback (3), albedo feedback (19).
 - Fig. 1 from Li et al. (2005) AGCM experiments (again Heinrich_slides.pdf). Again sea ice as an amplifier of *small* THC variability (Kaspi et al., 2004); Possible variants of the sea ice amplification idea: Stochastic excitation of THC+sea ice leads to DO-like variability (Fig. 5 in Timmermann et al., 2003); similarly, self-sustained DO events with sea ice amplification in Loving and Vallis (2005), including figures on pages 16, 22, 24 in pdf.
- 5 **Precise clock behind DO events? Stochastic resonance?** First, Figs. 1,2 from Rahmstorf (2003). (Time permitting: clock error, triggering error and dating error); is it significant, or does the fact that we are free to look for a periodicity for which some "clock" might fit the time series makes it more likely for the time series to seem as if it is driven by a precise clock? Next, stochastic resonance: (Alley et al., 2001): consider a histogram of waiting time between DO events (Fig. 2) and find that these are multiples of 1470, suggesting stochastic

resonance as a possible explanation. The bad news: no clock, (Ditlevsen et al., 2007), see their Fig. 1 and read their very short conclusions section.

- 6 **DO teleconnections:** Wang et al. (2001) show a strong correlation of Hulu cave in China with Greenland ice cores (Fig. 1). Similarly, Denton and Hendy (1994) show a correlation of Younger Dryas and glaciers in New Zealand. What is the mechanism? (1) Atmospheric teleconnections: if this wasn't covered in the El Nino section, discuss atmospheric Rossby wave teleconnections. (2) MOC/THC teleconnections: weaker NADW import to Southern Ocean due weakening of MOC (Manabe and Stouffer, 1995); see also the related THC seesaw (Broecker (1998), and this). (3) Alternatively, teleconnections may be via ocean wave motions e.g., Johnson and Marshall (2004) Fig. 4 and discussion around eqns 1,2,10): essentially equatorial and coastal Kelvin waves propagate anomalies due to changes in convection rate very fast from the north-west Atlantic and spread the information along eastern boundaries, and then slower Rossby waves transmit the information to the ocean interior on a decadal time scale. This mechanism also allows for inter-basin exchanges.
- 7 Heinrich events: MacAyeal's binge-purge mechanism: start with MacAyeal (1993)a's, argument that external forcing is not likely to play a role (section 2, eqns 1-5, p 777); heuristic argument for the time scale (section 5, p 782, eqns 19-25, note that lhs of eqn 23, the b.c at the ground, should be $\tilde{\theta}_y(0,t)$). Show equations for the more detailed model of MacAyeal (1993)b (from slide 22 of Heinrich_slides.pdf or Kaspi et al., 2004), and numerical solution for temperature and ice height (slide 11), explaining all temperature maxima and minima of temperature during the cycle.
- 8 **Relation between DO and Heinrich events:** do major DO follows Heinrich events? Bond cycle (image on course web page is from here)? Do Heinrich events happen during a cold period just before DO events? When the ice sheet model is coupled to a simple ocean-atmosphere model (slide 21), can get the response of the climate system as well (slides 27,28,29) via a THC shutdown (cold event) followed by a flush (warm event).
- 9 Synchronous ice sheet collapses? slides (33-47).

6 Glacial cycles

Downloads here.

6.1 Overview and basics

First, briefly: glacial cycle phenomenology, main questions (from SIS intro, slides 1-7)

Next, basic ingredients that will later be incorporated into different glacial theories (lecture 8 from WH notes, unless otherwise noted),

1. energy balance and albedo feedback

- 2. ice sheet dynamics and Glenn's law (section 6.2 below)
- 3. parabolic ice sheet profile (section 6.2.2 below)
- 4. accumulation and ablation (mass balance) as function of ice sheet height, equilibrium line
- 5. ice streams, calving
- 6. dust loading and enhanced ablation
- 7. temperature-precipitation feedback
- 8. shallow ice approximation (section 3.1, p 222, Schoof and Hewitt, 2013, and section 6.2.3 below)
- 9. isostatic adjustment
- 10. Milankovitch forcing (section 6.3 below)
- 11. geothermal heating

6.2 Ice dynamics preliminaries

6.2.1 Intro to ice dynamics

Rate of strain definition from Kundu and Cohen (2002) sections 3.6 and 3.7, pages 56-58; stress and deviatoric stress and stress-rate of strain relationship and Glenn's law from section 2.3, pages 13-15 of Van-Der-Veen (1999).

6.2.2 Parabolic profile of ice sheets

First simple version assuming ice is a plastic material, from WH notes p 101. Then the more accurate expression shown by the solid line in Fig 11.4 in Paterson (1994): the following derivation is especially sloppy in dealing with the constants of integration, and very roughly follows Chapter 5, p 243 eqns 6-10 and p 251, eqns 18-22 from Paterson (1994),

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{xz} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{du}{dz} = A \tau_{xz}^n = A (\rho g(h-z) \frac{dh}{dx})^n$$

integrate from z = 0 to z, and use the b.c. $u(z = 0) = u_b$,

$$u(z) - u_b = 2A(\rho g \frac{dh}{dx})^n \frac{(h-z)^{n+1}}{n+1} - 2A(\rho g \frac{dh}{dx})^n \frac{h^{n+1}}{n+1}$$

Let $u_b = 0$ (no sliding) and average the velocity in z,

$$\bar{u} = (1/h) \int_0^h dz 2A \left(\rho g \frac{dh}{dx} \right)^n \frac{(h-z)^{n+1}}{n+1} - 2A \left(\rho g \frac{dh}{dx} \right)^n \left(\frac{h^{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \\
= \frac{2A}{(n+1)} \left(\rho g h \frac{dh}{dx} \right)^n h \left(\frac{1}{n+2} - 1 \right) \\
= -\frac{2A}{(n+2)} \left(\rho g h \frac{dh}{dx} \right)^n h.$$
(1)

Next we use continuity, assuming a constant accumulation of ice at the surface, $d(h\bar{u})/dx = c$ which implies together with the last equation

$$cx + K_1 = h\bar{u} = -\frac{2A}{(n+2)} \left(\rho g h \frac{dh}{dx}\right)^n h^2 = K_2 \left(h \frac{dh}{dx}\right)^n h^2$$

where ablation is assumed to occur only at the edge of the ice sheet at x = L. The last eqn may be written as

$$(K_3x + K_4)^{1/n}dx = h^{2/n+1}dh$$

and solved using boundary conditions of h(x = 0) = H and h(x = L) = 0 to obtain

$$(x/L)^{1+1/n} + (h/H)^{2/n+2} = 1.$$

This last equation provides the better fit to obs in Paterson Fig 11.4 (also shown in WH notes).

6.2.3 Shallow ice approximation

Let (s,b) be the ice surface and ice bottom heights. Then, the momentum eqns, Glenn's law, the top and bottom boundary condition, and mass conservation equations are,

$$0 = -p_x + \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z}, \quad p_z = -\rho g,$$

$$u_z = 2A|\tau_{xz}|^{n-1}\tau_{xz}$$

$$u(z=b) = 0, \quad \tau_{xz}(s) = 0, \quad p(s) = 0$$

$$s_t + \partial_x q = a, \quad q = \int_b^s u \, dz$$

Integrating the hydrostatic eqn from the surface, one finds

$$p(x,z) = (s(x) - z)\rho g.$$

Substitute in the momentum equation to find,

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} = \rho g s_x$$

Integrate using the zero stress condition at the top, z = s,

$$\tau_{xz} = \rho g(z-s)s_x$$

substitute in Glenn's law,

$$u_z = 2A(\rho g)^n (z - s)^n |s_x|^{n-1} s_x$$

integrate from the bottom to z to find u, using b.c of zero velocity at bottom,

$$u(z) = \frac{2A}{n+1} (\rho g)^n [(z-s)^{n+1} - (b-s)^{n+1}] |s_x|^{n-1} s_x$$

and then again from bottom to top to find q,

$$q = \frac{2A}{n+1} (\rho g)^n \left[\frac{1}{n+2} (b-s)^{n+2} - (b-s)^{n+2} \right] |s_x|^{n-1} s_x$$

= $-\frac{2A}{(n+2)} (\rho g)^n (s-b)^{n+2} |s_x|^{n-1} s_x$

so that the mass conservation equation can be written as a nonlinear diffusion equation with a diffusion coefficient D,

$$s_t - \partial_x (Ds_x) = a,$$

 $D = \frac{2A}{(n+2)} (\rho g)^n (s-b)^{n+2} |s_x|^{n-1}.$

6.3 Milankovitch

- sea_ice_switch_and_pacing_glacial_cycles.pptx slide 6; Paillard (2001) Fig 2.
- Muller and MacDonald (2002) Chapter 2: sections 2.1 (only until and not including 2.1.1); 2.2 (only 2.2.1-2.2.5).
- Some additions: precession effect is anti-symmetric with respect to seasons and hemispheres, and annual average of precession vanishes at each latitude; precession has no effect when eccentricity is zero (circular orbit); Paillard (2001) p 328: "energy received at a given latitude and between two given orbital positions (for example, between the summer solstice and the autumnal equinox) does not depend on the climatic precession. However, the time necessary for the Earth to move between these two orbital positions (for example, the length of the summer season) does change with climatic precession. The insolation, defined as the

amount of energy received per unit time, therefore changes with climatic precession, but only through the lengths of the seasons."

- Obliquity does effect annual mean at a given latitude, but not global average. Larger obliquity leads to more radiation at the poles in summers, but still none at winter, so more generally high latitude annual insolation depends on obliquity.
- Paillard (2001) Figs. 3,4,5
- Animations and images in web page by Peter Huybers (use Safari), people.fas.harvard.edu/phuybers/Inso
- Integrated insolation: this is left to the end of the glacial cycle mechanism discussion.

6.4 Glacial cycle mechanisms

General outline:

- 1. Temperature-precipitation feedback (WH section 9.1.1)
- 2. isostatic adjustment (WH section 9.1.2)
- 3. Adhemar's model, Croll's model (Fig. 1, Paillard, 2001),
- 4. Milankovitch: a correct calculation of orbital parameters and insolation, and realization that it's the summers that matter.
- 5. Calder's model (eqn on p. 332 and Fig. 9 of Paillard, 2001),
- 6. Imbrie and Imbrie (eqn on p. 333 and Fig. 10 of Paillard, 2001),
- 7. Paillard's model (sec 3.3, eqn on p. 339 and Figs 12 and 13 of Paillard, 2001),
- 8. Le-Treut and Ghil (1983) and the 100 cycle as a difference tone of insolation's 19k and 23k frequencies, due to nonlinear glacial dynamics. Then Rial (1999) with taking the idea even further, (both from WH notes).
- 9. Stochastic resonance
- 10. 100 kyr from a collapse of the ice sheets due to geothermal heat build up and induced basal melting (Huybers and Tziperman, 2008)
- 11. Saltzman "Earth system" models ("Pacing glacial cycles" slides, and WH lecture, including figure with excellent fit to wrong CO₂ record)
- 12. Discussion: (A) we are trying to merely fit the record or explain the mechanism? (B) a reminder that a useful glacial theory must be falsifiable...

- 13. Pollard (1982): figure 72 from WH notes, adding components and feedbacks until a good fit to ice volume is obtained.
- 14. Sea ice switch (SIS slides)
- 15. Huybers' integrated insolation: The 41kyr problem, positive degree days as a motivation for integrating insolation beyond a threshold corresponding to melting point; cancellation of precession because summer intensity and duration are exactly out of phase (Kepler Laws). All explained in Huybers-integrated-insolation.pptx slides 5,7,9,10.
- 16. Phase locking, and a discussion of how a good fit to ice volume does not imply a correct physical mechanism; difference between locking to periodic and quasi-periodic forcing (Pacing slides)

6.5 CO₂

- 1. Basics of ocean carbonate system, including carbonate ions, prognostic equations for alkalinity, total carbon and a nutrient, from notes. Section 4 till eqn 9; section 4.1, eqns 10-19; section 4.2 and 4.3 with an approximate analytic solution of the carbonate system. Then use the solution to understand the response of the carbonate system to adding CO₂ from volcanoes, to photosynthesis and to CaCO₃ deposition or dissolution. Note that instead of specifying total CO₂ and alkalinity, we could solve for the carbonate system given any two of (total carbon, alkalinity, pH), this is also useful when trying to reconstruct past CO₂ from proxies that reflect past pH. Then note that we need advection-diffusion equations for the total carbon and alkalinity (section 5, first paragraph).
- 2. (Time permitting:) The exact solution of this set of equation for pCO₂ as function of total CO₂ and alkalinity based on Fig 1.1.3 from Zeebe's book.
- 3. The 3 box model of Toggweiler (1999) showing how CO₂ can change in response to change in mixing in the Southern Ocean between surface water and NADW below (notes based on Toggweiler's eqns 4, 5, 8).
- 4. Criticism of the results: same notes, (based on Toggweiler's section 2, paragraphs 2, 3 on left column, page 575). Bottom line is that the model also predicts changes to the high latitude surface nutrients PO_{4h} , and this change hasn't been observed. Toggweiler later shows in his paper that reversing the THC in the Southern Ocean (to be more realistic, actually) helps with this.
- 5. (Time permitting:) Results of full 3 box model for glacial CO_2 as function of ventilation by f_{dh} and high latitude biological pump P_h (section 2), Figures 2 and 3.

7 Pliocene climate

Phenomenology (Molnar and Cane, 2007; Dowsett et al., 2010) and relevant proxies for temperature, productivity, upwelling, CO₂, etc. Pliocene as nearest analogue of future warming (although only for the equilibrium response). Observations of global temperature, permanent El Nino and warming of mid-latitude upwelling sites.

Possible mechanisms for permanent El Nino: FW flux causing a collapse of the meridional density gradient and therefore meridional ocean heat flux, which leads to a deepening of the thermocline; Hurricanes and tropical mixing; opening of central American seaway; movement of new Guinea and changes in Pacific-Indian water mass exchange; atmospheric superrotation, possibly driven by strengthening MJO. Mechanisms for warming of upwelling sites.

Details of all of the above in Pliocene powerpoint presentation.

8 Equable climate

Downloads here.

Earth Climate was exceptionally warm, and the equator to pole temperature difference (EPTD) exceptionally small, during the Eocene (55Myr ago), when continental configuration was not dramatically different from present-day. Many explanations have been proposed, and we will briefly survey some.

First, Phenomenology and relevant proxies from slides.

8.1 Equator to pole Hadley cell

(Farrell, 1990). The idea briefly: Angular momentum conservation leading to large u in the upper branch of the Hadley cell: $M = (u + \Omega r \cos \theta) r \cos \theta$ and if a particle starts with u(equator) = 0, we find from M(30) = M(equator) that $u(30) = (6,300,000 \times 2\pi/(24 \times 3600)) \times (1/\cos(30) - \cos(30)) = 132 m/sec$. The resulting large u_z is balanced via thermal wind by strong T_y , leading to a large EPTD (eqn 1.5 in Farrell, 1990). To break this constraint, can dissipate some angular momentum, reduce f (as on Venus), or increase the tropopause height H which appears in the solution for the edge of the Hadley cell.

- Start with the frictionless theory from Vallis (2006), highlighted parts of sections 11.2.2-11.2.3.
- Then cover highlighted parts of Farrell (1990), which is based on an extension of Held and Hou (1980) to include dissipation, based on Hou (1984).

8.2 Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs)

PSCs are formed in the lower stratosphere (15-25 km) at temperatures below -78°C. They are optically thick and high, and therefore have a significant greenhouse effect. Water ice, which is a

major ingredient of PSCs, is formed in the stratosphere via methane oxidation. Methane, unlike water vapor, is able to get past the tropopause "cold trap" given its freezing point of -182°C. Sloan et al. (1992) proposed that PSCs may have contributed to equable climate conditions, and Kirkdavidoff et al. (2002) suggested a positive feedback that would enhance the formation of PSCs in a warm climate. Details follow.

- Basics: QG potential vorticity, EP fluxes, transformed Eulerian mean equations (Vallis).
- **Planetary wave forcing:** Topographically forced vertically propagating planetary waves, conditions on mean zonal flow that lead to vertical propagation (Vallis).
- **Zonal stratospheric circulation:** (Vallis) SW absorption near summer pole leads to a reversed temperature gradient in summer hemisphere: i.e., $T_y < 0$ in southern hemisphere during Jan, and $T_y > 0$ in northern hemisphere during July. Winter hemisphere (northern Jan, southern July) has no SW at pole, temperature gradient is not reversed. During southern winter (July), therefore, $T_y > 0$, and therefore $u_z \propto \rho_y / f_0 \propto -T_y / f_0 > 0$. Using u = 0 at top of stratosphere we get u < 0 (easterlies) during summer (Jan) in the southern hemisphere stratosphere. Similarly, u < 0 (easterlies) during summer (July) in northern hemisphere. During winter stratospheric zonal winds are westerlies. Note that stationary Rossby waves cannot propagate from the troposphere into easterlies, therefore can only reach the stratosphere in the winter hemisphere.
- **Brewer-Dobson stratospheric circulation:** (Vallis) zonally averaged Transformed Eulerian Mean (TEM) momentum balance derived above is $-f_0\bar{v}^* = \overline{v'q'}$. Assuming the potential vorticity flux is down gradient (equatorward, because the gradient is dominated by β), the rhs is negative, so that the mean flow $\bar{v}^* > 0$ is poleward. B-D circulation warms the pole and cools the equator in the stratosphere (Vallis eqn 13.89): $N^2\bar{w}^* = \frac{\theta_E \theta}{\tau}$, together with positive w in tropics and negative in polar areas forced by poleward B-D meridional flow. This leads to $\theta < \theta_E$ (cooling!) at equator (where $\bar{w}^* > 0$) and $\theta > \theta_E$ (warming!) at pole (where $\bar{w}^* < 0$).
- Feedback between EPTD, vertically propagating planetary waves, Brewer-Dobson stratospheric circulation and PSCs: (Kirk-davidoff et al., 2002) warmer climate means weaker tropospheric EPTD, this leads to weaker mean tropospheric winds and weaker synoptic scale motions (which are, in turn, created via baroclinic instability of the mean winds and meridional temperature gradient). Both of these factors weaken the production of vertically propagating Rossby waves (forced by mean winds interacting with topography, and by synoptic motions). As a result, weaker Eliassen-Palm flux EP, weaker $\nabla \cdot EP = \overline{v'q'}$, weaker B-D circulation, and therefore colder pole and warmer equator. Colder pole allows more PSCs to develop. This, in turn, further weakens the EPTD in troposphere, providing a positive feedback.
- **However:** Korty and Emanuel (2007) show that the BD circulation could strengthen because additional zonal wavenumbers can penetrate into the stratosphere in a warmer climate with

weaker EPTD. Furthermore, nearly all studies of future climate scenarios project a stronger BD circulation, probably because of an upward shift of the critical layer and therefore of the wave breaking altitude resulting from the eastward acceleration and upward movement of the subtropical jets. This allows both the resolved (planetary) and parameterized (internal) waves to penetrate higher, and to drive a stronger BD circulation (Butchart, 2014).

8.3 Hurricanes and ocean mixing

The idea: Hurricanes are a heat engine, when described as such they can be shown to get stronger in warmer climates. This leads to stronger ocean mixing, and therefore to stronger ocean MOC. The stronger meridional heat flux by the ocean MOC reduces equator to pole temperature gradient and explain equable climate.

- Maximum possible hurricane strength (from Kerry's web page): rate of energy *input* per unit area into the hurricane is roughly $G = \varepsilon C_k \rho V_s L(q_0^* q_a)$, where V_s is the max surface wind speed, ε efficiency in translating enthalpy to K.E., q_a the atmospheric surface specific humidity, q_0^* the saturation humidity based on SST, L is the latent heat of evaporation, ρ the air density and C_k the bulk coefficient for evaporation. The rate of energy dissipation per unit area is given by $D = C_D \rho V_s^3$. To calculate the efficiency ε , need to consider first a Carnot cycle.
- Carnot cycle: three first pages from wikipedia article, including description of four steps, calculation of efficiency. See also on-line animation.
- Hurricane as a Carnot cycle: (Figure 1 in Emanuel, 1991). (1) Equivalent to isothermal expansion: air acquires heat (in the form of moisture from evaporation) as it flows along the surface toward the center. (2) Adiabatic expansion as the parcel goes up in the slanted eye wall, doing work on the environment. (3) Isothermal compression: hurricane releases the heat (moisture) to the environment while mixing out of the convective plumes at the top of the storm (at a temperature T_0). (4) adiabatic compression while descending back to the surface.
- Calculating the efficiency ε of a hurricane: The *efficiency* of work done (kinetic energy generation) in a Carnot cycle in terms of the temperatures of the warm and cold reservoirs involved is $\varepsilon = (T_H T_C)/T_H$. For Hurricanes, $T_H = SST$ is temperature of the heat source (the ocean surface). $T_C = T_0$ is the average temperature at which heat is lost by the air parcels at the top of the storm. The taller a hurricane is, the lower the temperature T_0 at its top and thus, the greater the thermodynamic efficiency. For a typical hurricane, $\varepsilon \approx 1/3$.
- Estimating maximum wind speed in a hurricane: Setting dissipation equal to generation (G = D), we get $V_s^2 = \varepsilon L(q_0^* q_a)C_k/C_D$. Assuming the atmosphere to be 85% saturated, and the ratio of the two bulk coefficients to be about one, we get

$$V_s^2 = \varepsilon L0.15 q_0^* = \frac{SST - T_0}{SST} L0.15 q_0^*.$$

Note that this is exponential in temperature, because of the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship.

- Connection to ocean mixing and equable climate: Finally, assuming that stronger hurricanes lead to stronger ocean mixing, and this to stronger MOC. Stronger MOC means warmer poles (Emanuel, 2002).
- Unfortunately, consequences on SST and on EPTD of enhanced tropical ocean mixing in an idealized coupled model seem small (Figs. 4, 11, 12 of Korty et al., 2008).

8.4 Convective cloud feedback

The idea: tropospheric clouds over the arctic during polar night should have a very strong green-house effect, but no cooling albedo effect. Ice free Arctic can support atmospheric convection and convective clouds, and these clouds then protect the surface from cooling too rapidly, and maintain the ice-free Arctic during polar night. This is a positive feedback between the convection and the surface temperature. With ice free Arctic, high latitude continents are more likely to remain above freezing, as observed. The feedback also dramatically reduces equator to pole temperature gradient especially during winter.

- Importance of clouds for Arctic Energy balance: back-of-the-envelope calculation for Arctic, comparing options for warming the Arctic, from Powerpoint.
- **Results of box model:** hysteresis and multiple equilibria, from Powerpoint. Our objective is to derive a simple analytic model describing the feedback and resulting hysteresis.
- Atmospheric convection preliminaries: Derivation of the conservation of Moist Static Energy (and, optional, moist adiabatic lapse rate which is needed in the followings but perhaps not critical), beginning of section 6.1 from my notes based on Marshall and Plumb (2008). Then using MSE to diagnose convective stability, section 7.3 from my notes.
- Analytic two-level model: section 2 of Abbot and Tziperman (2009) demonstrating the multiple equilibria and hysteresis analytically.
- Supporting results from more complex models: SCAM, IPCC, SP-CESM, from Powerpoint presentation (e.g., Abbot and Tziperman, 2008; Abbot et al., 2009).

9 Data analysis tools

For observations and model output (hands-on practice in sections). supporting material.

- EOFs
- SVD analysis of two co-varying fields
- Composite analysis

- Linear inverse models, POPs
- Spectral analysis

10 Review of nonlinear dynamics concepts covered in the course

- 1. One-parameter bifurcations:
 - (a) 1d: saddle node,

$$\dot{x} = \mu - x^2$$

transcritical,

$$\dot{x} = \mu x - x^2$$

pitchfork: super-critical and sub-critical.

$$\dot{x} = \mu x - x^3$$

$$\dot{x} = \mu x + x^3 - x^5$$

- (b) Hysteresis in the case of two back-to-back saddle node bifurcations, and in the case of a subcritical pitchfork.
- (c) 1d bifurcations in higher dimensional systems
- (d) 2d: Hopf, super-critical

$$\dot{r} = \mu r - r^3$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \omega + br^2$$

and sub critical.

$$\dot{r} = \mu r + r^3 - r^5$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \omega + br^2$$

- (e) Hysteresis in subcritical Hopf.
- 2. Nonlinear phase locking via analysis of flows on a circle, firefly and flashlight.
- 3. Two-parameter bifurcation: quasi-periodicity route to chaos in circle map.

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \Omega - \frac{K}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi\theta_n)$$

4. Relaxation oscillation, analysis via nullclines of the Van der Pol oscillator,

$$\ddot{x} - \mu(1 - x^2)\dot{x} + x = 0$$

5. Saddle node bifurcation of cycles.

References

- Abbot, D. S. and Tziperman, E. (2008). Sea ice, high latitude convection, and equable climates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35:L03702. doi:10.1029/2007GL032286.
- Abbot, D. S. and Tziperman, E. (2009). Controls on the activation and strength of a high latitude convective-cloud feedback. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 66:519–529.
- Abbot, D. S., Walker, C., and Tziperman, E. (2009). Can a convective cloud feedback help to eliminate winter sea ice at high CO₂ concentrations? *J. Climate*, 22(21):5719–5731, DOI: 10.1175/2009JCLI2854.1.
- Alley, R., Anandakrishnan, S., and Jung, P. (2001). Stochastic resonance in the north atlantic. *Paleoceanography*, 16(2):190–198.
- Bender, C. M. and Orszag, S. A. (1978). advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers. McGraw-Hill.
- Broecker, W. S. (1998). Paleocean circulation during the last deglaciation: a bipolar seesaw? *Paleoceanography*, 13(2):119–121.
- Budyko, M. I. (1969). The effect of solar radiation variations on the climate of the earth. *Tellus*, 21:611–619.
- Butchart, N. (2014). The Brewer-Dobson circulation. Reviews of geophysics, 52(2):157–184.
- Cessi, P. (1994). A simple box model of stochastically forced thermohaline flow. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 24:1911–1920.
- Cessi, P., Pierrehumbert, R., and Tziperman, E. (2001). Lectures on enso, the thermohaline circulation, glacial cycles and climate basics. In Balmforth, N. J., editor, *Conceptual Models of the Climate*. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.
- Cunningham, S. A., Kanzow, T., Rayner, D., Baringer, M. O., Johns, W. E., Marotzke, J., Longworth, H. R., Grant, E. M., Hirschi, J. J. M., Beal, L. M., Meinen, C. S., and Bryden, H. L. (2007). Temporal variability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation at 26.5 degrees N. *Science*, 317(5840):935–938.
- Delworth, T., Manabe, S., and Stouffer, R. J. (1993). Interdecadal variations of the thermohaline circulation in a coupled ocean-atmosphere model. *J. Climate*, 6:1993–2011.
- Denton, G. H. and Hendy, C. H. (1994). Younger Dryas age advance of Franz-Josef glacier in the Southern Alps of New-Zealand. *Science*, 264(5164):1434–1437.
- Dijkstra, H. A. (2000). Nonlinear physical oceanography. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Ditlevsen, P. D., Andersen, K. K., and Svensson, A. (2007). The do-climate events are probably noise induced: statistical investigation of the claimed 1470 years cycle. *Climate of the Past*, 3(1):129–134.
- Dowsett, H., Robinson, M., Haywood, A., Salzmann, U., Hill, D., Sohl, L., Chandler, M., Williams, M., Foley, K., and Stoll, D. (2010). The prism3d paleoenvironmental reconstruction. *Stratigraphy*, 7(2-3):123–139.
- Emanuel, K. (2002). A simple model of multiple climate regimes. J. Geophys. Res., 107(0).
- Emanuel, K. A. (1991). The theory of hurricanes. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 23(1):179–196.
- Farrell, B. F. (1990). Equable climate dynamics. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 47(24):2986–2995.
- Ganopolski, A. and Rahmstorf, S. (2001). Rapid changes of glacial climate simulated in a coupled climate model. *Nature*, 409:153–158.
- Gardiner, C. (1983). Handbook of stochastic methods for physics, chemistry and the natural sciences. Springer Verlag, NY.
- Griffies, S. M. and Tziperman, E. (1995). A linear thermohaline oscillator driven by stochastic atmospheric forcing. *J. Climate*, 8(10):2440–2453.
- Held, I. M. and Hou, A. Y. (1980). Non-linear axially-symmetric circulations in a nearly inviscid atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 37(3):515–533.
- Hoskins, B. J. and Karoly, D. J. (1981). The steady linear response of a spherical atmosphere to thermal and orographic forcing. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 38(6):1179–1196.
- Hou, A. Y. (1984). Axisymmetric circulations forced by heat and momentum sources: A simple model applicable to the Venus atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 41(24):3437–3455.
- Huybers, P. and Tziperman, E. (2008). Integrated summer insolation controls 40,000 year glacial cycles in an ice-sheet energy-balance model. *Paleoceanography*, 23:PA1208, doi:10.1029/2007PA001463.
- Jin, F.-F. (1997). An equatorial ocean recharge paradigm for ENSO. Part I: conceptual model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 54:811–829.
- Johnson, H. L. and Marshall, D. P. (2004). Global teleconnections of meridional overturning circulation anomalies. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 34(7):1702–1722.
- Kaspi, Y., Sayag, R., and Tziperman, E. (2004). A 'triple sea-ice state' mechanism for the abrupt warming and synchronous ice sheet collapses during heinrich events. *Paleoceanogra-phy*, 19(3):PA3004, 10.1029/2004PA001009.

- Kirk-davidoff, D. B., Schrag, D. P., and Anderson, J. G. (2002). On the feedback of stratospheric clouds on polar climate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(11).
- Korty, R. L. and Emanuel, K. A. (2007). The dynamic response of the winter stratosphere to an equable climate surface temperature gradient. *J. Climate*, 20(21):5213–5228.
- Korty, R. L., Emanuel, K. A., and Scott, J. R. (2008). Tropical cyclone-induced upper-ocean mixing and climate: Application to equable climates. *J. Climate*, 21(4):638–654.
- Kundu, P. and Cohen, I. M. (2002). Fluid mechanics. Academic Press, second edition.
- Le-Treut, H. and Ghil, M. (1983). Orbital forcing, climatic interactions, and glaciations cycles. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88:5167–5190.
- Lenderink, G. and Haarsma, R. J. (1994). Variability and multiple equilibria of the thermohaline circulation associated with deep water formation. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 24:1480–1493.
- Li, C., Battisti, D. S., Schrag, D. P., and Tziperman, E. (2005). Abrupt climate shifts in greenland due to displacements of the sea ice edge. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(19).
- Loving, J. L. and Vallis, G. K. (2005). Mechanisms for climate variability during glacial and interglacial periods. *Paleoceanography*, 20(4).
- MacAyeal, D. (1993). Binge/purge oscillations of the laurentide ice-sheet as a cause of the North-Atlantics Heinrich events. *Paleoceanography*, 8(6):775–784.
- MacAyeal, D. (1993). A low-order model of the Heinrich Event cycle. *Paleoceanography*, 8(6):767–773.
- Manabe, S. and Stouffer, R. J. (1995). Simulation of abrupt climate change induced by freshwater input to the North Atlantic Ocean. *Nature*, 378:165–167.
- Marotzke, J. (1996). Analyses of thermohaline feedbacks. In Anderson, D. L. T. and Willebrand, J., editors, *Decadal climate variability, Dynamics and Predictability*, volume 44 of *NATO ASI Series I*, pages 334–377. Springer Verlag.
- Marshall, J. and Plumb, R. A. (2008). *Atmosphere, ocean, and climate dynamics*. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, MA, USA.
- Mcphaden, M. J. and Yu, X. (1999). Equatorial waves and the 1997-98 el niño. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26(19):2961–2964.
- Molnar, P. and Cane, M. A. (2007). Early Pliocene (pre-ice age) El Niño-like global climate: Which El Niño? *Geosphere*, 3(5):337–365.
- Moore, A. M. and Kleeman, R. (2001). The differences between the optimal perturbations of coupled models of ENSO. *J. Climate*, 14(2):138–163.

- Muller, R. A. and MacDonald, G. J. (2002). *Ice Ages and Astronomical Causes*. Springer Praxis Books / Environmental Sciences.
- Munk, W. and Wunsch, C. (1998). Abyssal recipes ii: energetics of tidal and wind mixing. *Deepsea Research Part I-oceanographic Research Papers*, 45(12):1977–2010.
- North, G. R., Cahalan, R. F., and Coakley, J. A. (1981). Energy balance climate models. *Rev. Geophys. Space. Phys.*, 19:91–121.
- Paillard, D. (2001). Glacial cycles: toward a new paradigm. Rev. Geophys., 39:325–346.
- Paparella, F. and Young, W. R. (2002). Horizontal convection is non-turbulent. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 466:205–214.
- Paterson, W. (1994). The Physics of Glaciers. Pergamon, 3rd edition.
- Pollard, D. (1982). A simple ice sheet model yields realistic 100 kyr glacial cycles. *Nature*, 296:334–338.
- Rahmstorf, S. (1995). Bifurcations of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation in response to changes in the hydrological cycle. *Nature*, 378:145.
- Rahmstorf, S. (2003). Timing of abrupt climate change: A precise clock. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(10).
- Rial, J. A. (1999). Pacemaking the ice ages by frequency modulation of earth's orbital eccentricity. *Science*, 285(5427):564–568.
- Rodean, H. (1996). Stochastic Lagrangian models of turbulent diffusion, volume 26/48 of Meteorological monographs. American meteorological society.
- Sayag, R., Tziperman, E., and Ghil, M. (2004). Rapid switch-like sea ice growth and land ice-sea ice hysteresis. *Paleoceanography*, 19(PA1021, doi:10.1029/2003PA000946).
- Schoof, C. and Hewitt, I. (2013). Ice-sheet dynamics. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 45:217–239.
- Schuster, H. G. (1989). Deterministic Chaos. VCH, 2nd edition.
- Sellers, W. D. (1969). A global climate model based on the energy balance of the earth-atmosphere system. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 8:392–400.
- Sloan, L. C., Walker, J. C. G., Moore, T. C., Rea, D. K., and Zachos, J. C. (1992). Possible methane-induced polar warming in the early Eocene. *Nature*, 357(6376):320–322.
- Strogatz, S. (1994). Nonlinear dynamics and chaos. Westview Press.

- Te Raa, L. A. and Dijkstra, H. A. (2002). Instability of the thermohaline ocean circulation on interdecadal timescales. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 32(1):138–160.
- Timmermann, A., Gildor, H., Schulz, M., and Tziperman, E. (2003). Coherent resonant millennial-scale climate oscillations triggered by massive meltwater pulses. *J. Climate*, 16(15):2569–2585.
- Toggweiler, J. R. (1999). Variation of atmospheric CO_2 by ventilation of the ocean's deepest water. *Paleoceanography*, 14:572–588.
- Toggweiler, J. R., Tziperman, E., Feliks, Y., Bryan, K., Griffies, S. M., and Samuels, B. (1996). Instability of the thermohaline circulation with respect to mixed boundary conditions: Is it really a problem for realistic models? reply. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 26(6):1106–1110.
- Tziperman, E. (1997). Inherently unstable climate behaviour due to weak thermohaline ocean circulation. *Nature*, 386(6625):592–595.
- Tziperman, E. and Ioannou, P. J. (2002). Transient growth and optimal excitation of thermohaline variability. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 32(12):3427–3435.
- Tziperman, E., Toggweiler, J. R., Feliks, Y., and Bryan, K. (1994). Instability of the thermohaline circulation with respect to mixed boundary-conditions: Is it really a problem for realistic models. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 24(2):217–232.
- Tziperman, E. and Yu, L. (2007). Quantifying the dependence of westerly wind bursts on the large scale equatorial Pacific SST. *J. Climate*, 20(12):2760–2768.
- Vallis, G. K. (2006). Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics, fundamentals and large-scale circulation. Cambridge University Press.
- Van-Der-Veen, C. (1999). Fundamentals of Glacier Dynamics. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- Vecchi, G. A. and Harrison, D. E. (1997). Westerly wind events in the tropical pacific, 1986 1995: An atlas from the ecmwf operational surface wind fields. Technical report, NOAA/Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory.
- Wang, Y. J., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., An, Z. S., Wu, J. Y., Shen, C.-C., and Dorale, J. A. (2001). A high-resolution absolute-dated late pleistocene monsoon record from hulu cave, china. *Science*, 294:2345–2348.
- Weaver, A. J. and Hughes, T. M. C. (1994). Rapid interglacial climate fluctuations driven by North Atlantic ocean circulation. *Nature*, 367:447–450.
- Winton, M. (1993). Deep decoupling oscillations of the oceanic thermohaline circulation. In Peltier, W. R., editor, *Ice in the climate system*, volume 12 of *NATO ASI Series I: Global Environmental Change*, pages 417–432. Springer Verlag.

- Winton, M. (2006). Does the Arctic sea ice have a tipping point? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(23):L23504.
- Yu, L., Weller, R. A., and Liu, T. W. (2003). Case analysis of a role of ENSO in regulating the generation of westerly wind bursts in the western equatorial Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(C4):10.1029/2002JC001498.
- Zanna, L., Heimbach, P., Moore, A. M., and Tziperman, E. (2011). Optimal excitation of interannual Atlantic meridional overturning circulation variability. *J. Climate*, 24(DOI: 10.1175/2010JCLI3610.1):413–427.
- Zanna, L. and Tziperman, E. (2005). Non normal amplification of the thermohaline circulation. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 35(9):1593–1605.
- Zhang, K. Q. and Rothstein, L. M. (1998). Modeling the oceanic response to westerly wind bursts in the western equatorial pacific. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 28(11):2227–2249.