

**More types
Section and Practice Problems**

Mar 10-11, 2016

1 Products and Sums

For these questions, use the lambda calculus with products and sums (Lecture 13§1.1).

- (a) Write a program that constructs two values of type $\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})$, one using left injection, and one using right injection.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } a : \mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) &= \text{inl}_{\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})} 3 \text{ in} \\ \text{inr}_{\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})} \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. 3 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Write a function that takes a value of type $\mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})$ and if the value is an integer, it adds 7 to it, and if the value is a function it applies the function to 42.

Answer:

$$\lambda a : \mathbf{int} + (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). \text{case } a \text{ of } \lambda y : \mathbf{int}. y + 7 \mid \lambda f : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}. f 42$$

- (c) Give a typing derivation for the following program.

$$\lambda p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). \lambda x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}. \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p$$

Answer: For brevity, let $e_1 \equiv \lambda x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}. \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p$ and let $\Gamma = \{p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}), x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{T-VAR} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}} \quad \text{T-LPROJ} \frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})}}{\Gamma \vdash \#1 p : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \quad \text{T-RPROJ} \frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})}}{\Gamma \vdash \#2 p : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \\ \text{T-CASE} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p : \mathbf{int}} \\ \text{T-ABS} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). \lambda x : \mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}. \text{case } x \text{ of } \#1 p \mid \#2 p : (\mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}) \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \\ \text{T-ABS} \frac{}{\vdash \lambda p : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). e_1 : ((\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})) \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \end{array}$$

- (d) Write a program that uses the term in part (c) above to produce the value 42.

Answer: We refer to the term in part (c) above as f .

$$f (\lambda x : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}. 42, \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. 41) \text{inl}_{\mathbf{unit} + \mathbf{int}} ()$$

2 Recursion

- (a) Use the $\mu x. e$ expression to write a function that takes a natural number n and returns the sum of all even natural numbers less than or equal to n . (You can assume you have appropriate integer comparison operators, and also a modulus operator.)

Answer:

$$\mu f. \lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + f(n - 2) \text{ else } f(n - 1)$$

- (b) Try executing your program by applying it to the number 5.

Answer: *The program executes correctly and returns 6. For brevity, we will refer to the expression from the answer above as F .*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F\ 5 \\
 \rightarrow & (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ 5 \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if } 5 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (5 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if false then } 0 \text{ else if } (5 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if } (5 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if } 1 = 0 \text{ then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if false then } 5 + F(5 - 2) \text{ else } F(5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & F(5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ (5 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ 4 \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if } 4 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (4 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if false then } 0 \text{ else if } (4 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if } (4 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if } 0 = 0 \text{ then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & \text{if true then } 4 + F(4 - 2) \text{ else } F(4 - 1) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + F(4 - 2) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ (4 - 2) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ 2 \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if } 2 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (2 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if false then } 0 \text{ else if } (2 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if } (2 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if } 0 = 0 \text{ then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (\text{if true then } 2 + F(2 - 2) \text{ else } F(2 - 1)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (2 + F(2 - 2)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ (2 - 2)) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ 0) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (n \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } n + F(n - 2) \text{ else } F(n - 1))\ 0) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\text{if } 0 \leq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } (0 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 0 + F(0 - 2) \text{ else } F(0 - 1))) \\
 \rightarrow & 4 + (2 + (\text{if true then } 0 \text{ else if } (0 \text{ mod } 2) = 0 \text{ then } 0 + F(0 - 2) \text{ else } F(0 - 1)))
 \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow 4 + (2 + (0))$
 $\rightarrow *6$

(c) Give a typing derivation for the following program. What happens if you execute the program?

$\mu p: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p)$

Answer: For brevity, we write τ_p for the type $(\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})$.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{p: \tau_p, n: \mathbf{int} \vdash n: \mathbf{int}}{} \quad \text{T-INT} \frac{}{p: \tau_p, n: \mathbf{int} \vdash 1: \mathbf{int}}{}}{\text{T-SUM} \frac{}{p: \tau_p, n: \mathbf{int} \vdash n + 1: \mathbf{int}}}{\text{T-ABS} \frac{}{p: \tau_p \vdash \lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1: \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}}}{\text{T-PAIR} \frac{}{p: \tau_p \vdash (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p): \tau_p}}{\text{T-REC} \frac{}{\vdash \mu p: \tau_p. (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p): \tau_p}}{\text{T-PROJ} \frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{p: \tau_p \vdash p: \tau_p}}{p: \tau_p \vdash \#1 p: \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}}}}{p: \tau_p \vdash (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p): \tau_p}}{\vdash \mu p: \tau_p. (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p): \tau_p}}$$

Now, if you actually tried to execute this expression under a Call-By-Name semantics, it would unfold the recursive expression to $(\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 P)$, where P is the recursive expression $\mu p: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p)$. While the first element of the pair is a value, the second $\#2 P$ is not, and so we would attempt to evaluate that expression. However, that requires evaluating the expression $P \equiv \mu p: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \times (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}). (\lambda n: \mathbf{int}. n + 1, \#1 p)$.

So, under Call-by-Name semantics, the program will not terminate.

3 References

(a) Give a typing derivation for the following program.

let $a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} \ 4$ in
let $b: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} \ \lambda x: \mathbf{int}. x + 38$ in
! b ! a

Answer: For brevity, we will write e for the expression above, and e_b for the subexpression let $b: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \mathbf{ref} \ \lambda x: \mathbf{int}. x + 38$ in ! b ! a

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{T-INT} \frac{}{\vdash 4: \mathbf{int}}}{\text{T-ALLOC} \frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{ref} \ 4: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}}}}{\text{T-LET} \frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{ref} \ 4: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}}} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{T-ABS} \frac{\text{T-INT} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, x: \mathbf{int} \vdash x + 38: \mathbf{int}}{} \quad \text{T-ALLOC} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} \vdash \lambda x: \mathbf{int}. x + 38: \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}}{}}{\text{T-LET} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} \vdash \mathbf{ref} \ \lambda x: \mathbf{int}. x + 38: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref}}}{\text{T-LET} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, b: (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} \vdash !b !a: \mathbf{int}}}}{\text{T-LET} \frac{}{\vdash e: \mathbf{int}}}}{\vdash e: \mathbf{int}}}$$

The subderivation marked $\dot{1}$ is:

$$\frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, x: \mathbf{int} \vdash x: \mathbf{int}} \quad \text{T-INT} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, x: \mathbf{int} \vdash 38: \mathbf{int}}}{\text{T-ADD} \frac{}{a: \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, x: \mathbf{int} \vdash x + 38: \mathbf{int}}}$$

The subderivation marked $\dot{2}$ is:

$$\text{T-APP} \frac{\text{T-DEREF} \frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref}}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash !b : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}} \quad \text{T-DEREF} \frac{\text{T-VAR} \frac{}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash !a : \mathbf{int}}}{\Gamma_{ab} \vdash !b !a : \mathbf{int}}}$$

where $\Gamma_{ab} = a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref}, b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref}$.

- (b) Execute the program above for 4 small steps, to get configuration $\langle e, \sigma \rangle$. What is an appropriate Σ such that $\emptyset, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Sigma \vdash \sigma$?

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \text{let } a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} = \text{ref } 4 \text{ in let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \text{ref } \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !a, \emptyset \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle \text{let } a : \mathbf{int} \mathbf{ref} = \ell_a \text{ in let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \text{ref } \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4] \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle \text{let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \text{ref } \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38 \text{ in } !b !\ell_a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4] \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle \text{let } b : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \mathbf{ref} = \ell_b \text{ in } !b !\ell_a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4, \ell_b \mapsto \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38] \rangle \\ \rightarrow & \langle !\ell_b !\ell_a, [\ell_a \mapsto 4, \ell_b \mapsto \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x + 38] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

An appropriate store typing context is $\Sigma = \ell_a \mapsto \mathbf{int}, \ell_b \mapsto \mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}$.