

Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences — CS 152: Programming Languages
Parametric Polymorphism; Records and Subtyping; Curry-Howard Isomorphism;
Existential Types
Section and Practice Problems

Mar 30 – Apr 3, 2020

1 Parametric polymorphism

(a) For each of the following System F expressions, is the expression well-typed, and if so, what type does it have? (If you are unsure, try to construct a typing derivation. Make sure you understand the typing rules.)

- $\Lambda A. \lambda x : A. A \rightarrow \mathbf{int}$. 42
- $\lambda y : \forall X. X \rightarrow X. (y \ [\mathbf{int}])$ 17
- $\Lambda Y. \Lambda Z. \lambda f : Y \rightarrow Z. \lambda a : Y. f \ a$
- $\Lambda A. \Lambda B. \Lambda C. \lambda f : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C. \lambda b : B. \lambda a : A. f \ a \ b$

(b) For each of the following types, write an expression with that type.

- $\forall X. X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)$
- $(\forall C. \forall D. C \rightarrow D) \rightarrow (\forall E. \mathbf{int} \rightarrow E)$
- $\forall X. X \rightarrow (\forall Y. Y \rightarrow X)$

2 Records and Subtyping

(a) Assume that we have a language with references and records.

(i) Write an expression with type

$$\{ \mathit{cell} : \mathbf{int \ ref}, \mathit{inc} : \mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \}$$

such that invoking the function in the field *inc* will increment the contents of the reference in the field *cell*.

(ii) Assuming that the variable *y* is bound to the expression you wrote for part (i) above, write an expression that increments the contents of the cell twice.

(b) The following expression is well-typed (with type **int**). Show its typing derivation. (Note: you will need to use the subsumption rule.)

$$(\lambda x : \{ \mathit{dogs} : \mathbf{int}, \mathit{cats} : \mathbf{int} \}. x.\mathit{dogs} + x.\mathit{cats}) \{ \mathit{dogs} = 2, \mathit{cats} = 7, \mathit{mice} = 19 \}$$

(c) Suppose that Γ is a typing context such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a) &= \{ \mathit{dogs} : \mathbf{int}, \mathit{cats} : \mathbf{int}, \mathit{mice} : \mathbf{int} \} \\ \Gamma(f) &= \{ \mathit{dogs} : \mathbf{int}, \mathit{cats} : \mathbf{int} \} \rightarrow \{ \mathit{apples} : \mathbf{int}, \mathit{kiwis} : \mathbf{int} \} \end{aligned}$$

Write an expression *e* that uses variables *a* and *f* and has type $\{ \mathit{apples} : \mathbf{int} \}$ under context Γ , i.e., $\Gamma \vdash e : \{ \mathit{apples} : \mathbf{int} \}$. Write a typing derivation for it.

(d) Which of the following are subtypes of each other?

- (a) $\{dogs : \mathbf{int}, cats : \mathbf{int}\} \rightarrow \{apples : \mathbf{int}\}$
- (b) $\{dogs : \mathbf{int}\} \rightarrow \{apples : \mathbf{int}\}$
- (c) $\{dogs : \mathbf{int}\} \rightarrow \{apples : \mathbf{int}, kiwis : \mathbf{int}\}$
- (d) $\{dogs : \mathbf{int}, cats : \mathbf{int}, mice : \mathbf{int}\} \rightarrow \{apples : \mathbf{int}, kiwis : \mathbf{int}\}$
- (e) $(\{apples : \mathbf{int}\}) \mathbf{ref}$
- (f) $(\{apples : \mathbf{int}, kiwis : \mathbf{int}\}) \mathbf{ref}$
- (g) $(\{kiwis : \mathbf{int}, apples : \mathbf{int}\}) \mathbf{ref}$

For each such pair, make sure you have an understanding of *why* one is a subtype of the other (and for pairs that aren't subtypes, also make sure you understand).

3 Curry-Howard isomorphism

The following logical formulas are tautologies, i.e., they are true. For each tautology, state the corresponding type, and come up with a term that has the corresponding type.

For example, for the logical formula $\forall\phi.\phi \implies \phi$, the corresponding type is $\forall X. X \rightarrow X$, and a term with that type is $\Lambda X. \lambda x : X. x$. Another example: for the logical formula $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \implies \tau_1$, the corresponding type is $\tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$, and a term with that type is $\lambda x : \tau_1 \times \tau_2. \#1 x$.

You may assume that the lambda calculus you are using for terms includes integers, functions, products, sums, universal types and existential types.

- (a) $\forall\phi. \forall\psi. \phi \wedge \psi \implies \psi \vee \phi$
- (b) $\forall\phi. \forall\psi. \forall\chi. (\phi \wedge \psi \implies \chi) \implies (\phi \implies (\psi \implies \chi))$
- (c) $\exists\phi. \forall\psi. \psi \implies \phi$
- (d) $\forall\psi. \psi \implies (\forall\phi. \phi \implies \psi)$
- (e) $\forall\psi. (\forall\phi. \phi \implies \psi) \implies \psi$

4 Existential types

- (a) Write a term with type $\exists C. \{ produce : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow C, consume : C \rightarrow \mathbf{bool} \}$. Moreover, ensure that calling the function *produce* will produce a value of type *C* such that passing the value as an argument to *consume* will return true if and only if the argument to *produce* was 42. (Assume that you have an integer comparison operator in the language.)
- (b) Do the same as in part (a) above, but now use a different witness type.
- (c) Assuming you have a value *v* of type $\exists C. \{ produce : \mathbf{int} \rightarrow C, consume : C \rightarrow \mathbf{bool} \}$, use *v* to “produce” and “consume” a value (i.e., make sure you know how to use the `unpack {X, x} = e1 in e2` expression).