Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences — CS 152: Programming Languages

# Curry-Howard Isomorphism; Existential Types; Type Inference Section and Practice Problems

Week 9: Tue Mar 21–Fri Mar 24, 2023

### 1 Curry-Howard isomorphism

The following logical formulas are tautologies, i.e., they are true. For each tautology, state the corresponding type, and come up with a term that has the corresponding type.

For example, for the logical formula  $\forall \phi. \phi \implies \phi$ , the corresponding type is  $\forall X. X \rightarrow X$ , and a term with that type is  $\Lambda X. \lambda x : X. x$ . Another example: for the logical formula  $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \implies \tau_1$ , the corresponding type is  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ , and a term with that type is  $\lambda x : \tau_1 \times \tau_2. \#1 x$ .

You may assume that the lambda calculus you are using for terms includes integers, functions, products, sums, universal types and existential types.

(a)  $\forall \phi. \forall \psi. \phi \land \psi \implies \psi \lor \phi$ 

**Answer:** *The corresponding type is* 

 $\forall X. \ \forall Y. \ X \times Y \to Y + X$ 

A term with this type is

 $\Lambda X. \Lambda Y. \lambda x: X \times Y. \operatorname{inl}_{Y+X} \#2 x$ 

(b)  $\forall \phi. \forall \psi. \forall \chi. (\phi \land \psi \implies \chi) \implies (\phi \implies \chi))$ 

**Answer:** *The corresponding type is* 

$$\forall X. \forall Y. \forall Z. (X \times Y \to Z) \to (X \to (Y \to Z))$$

*A term with this type is* 

$$\Lambda X. \Lambda Y. \Lambda Z. \lambda f: X \times Y \to Z. \lambda x: X. \lambda y: Y. f(x, y)$$

Note that this term curries the function, as we saw in class.

(c)  $\exists \phi. \forall \psi. \psi \implies \phi$ 

**Answer:** *The corresponding type is* 

 $\exists X. \ \forall Y. \ Y \to X$ 

A term with this type is

pack { *int*,  $\Lambda Y$ .  $\lambda y$ : Y. 42} as  $\exists X$ .  $\forall Y$ .  $Y \rightarrow X$ 

(d)  $\forall \psi. \psi \implies (\forall \phi. \phi \implies \psi)$ 

| <b>Answer:</b> The corresponding type is                             | $\forall Y. \ Y \to (\forall X. \ X \to Y)$             |
|--|---|
| A term with this type is   | $\Lambda Y. \lambda a : Y. \Lambda X. \lambda x : X. a$ |
| (e) $\forall \psi. (\forall \phi. \phi \implies \psi) \implies \psi$ |   |
| <b>Answer:</b> A corresponding type is                               | $\forall Y. \ (\forall X. \ X \to Y) \to Y$             |
| A term with this type is   |   |

 $\Lambda Y. \lambda f: \forall X. X \to Y. f$  [int] 42

# 2 Existential types

(a) Write a term with type  $\exists C$ . { *produce* : **int**  $\rightarrow C$ , *consume* :  $C \rightarrow$  **bool** }. Moreover, ensure that calling the function *produce* will produce a value of type C such that passing the value as an argument to *consume* will return true if and only if the argument to *produce* was 42. (Assume that you have an integer comparison operator in the language.)

### Answer:

In the following solution, we use **int** as the witness type, and implement produce using the identity function, and implement consume by testing whether the value of type C (i.e., of witness type **int**) is equal to 42.

*pack* {*int*, { *produce* =  $\lambda a$ : *int*. a, *consume* =  $\lambda a$ : *int*. a = 42 }} *as*  $\exists C$ . { *produce* : *int*  $\rightarrow$  C, *consume* :  $C \rightarrow$  *bool* }

(b) Do the same as in part (a) above, but now use a different witness type.

**Answer:** Here's another solution where instead we use **bool** as the witness type, and implement produce by comparing the integer argument to 42, and implement consume as the identity function.

*pack* {*bool*, { *produce* =  $\lambda a$  : *int*. a = 42, *consume* =  $\lambda a$  : *bool*. a }} *as*  $\exists C$ . { *produce* : *int*  $\rightarrow$  C, *consume* :  $C \rightarrow$  *bool* }

(c) Assuming you have a value v of type  $\exists C$ . { *produce* : **int**  $\rightarrow C$ , *consume* :  $C \rightarrow$  **bool** }, use v to "produce" and "consume" a value (i.e., make sure you know how to use the unpack  $\{X, x\} = e_1$  in  $e_2$  expression.

**Answer:**  $unpack \{D, r\} = v$  in let d = r.produce 19 in r.consume d

# 3 Type Inference

- (a) Recall the constraint-based typing judgment  $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C$ . Give inference rules for products and sums. That is, for the following expressions.
  - $(e_1, e_2)$
  - #1 e
  - #2 e
  - $\operatorname{inl}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} e$
  - $\operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} e$
  - case  $e_1$  of  $e_2 \mid e_3$

## Answer:

Note that in all of the rules below except for the rule for pairs  $(e_1, e_2)$ , the types in the premise and conclusion are connected only through constraints. The reason for this is the same as in the typing rule for function application, and for addition: we may not be able to derive that the premise has the appropriate type, e.g., for a projection #1 e, we may not be able to derive that  $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \triangleright C$ . We instead use constraints to ensure that the derived type is appropriate.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \triangleright C_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \triangleright C_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \triangleright C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \#1 \ e: X \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv X \times Y\}} X, Y \text{ are fresh } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \triangleright C}{\Gamma \vdash \#2 \ e: Y \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv X \times Y\}} X, Y \text{ are fresh }$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \triangleright C \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \mathit{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv \tau_1\} \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \mathit{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \triangleright C \cup \{\tau \equiv \tau_2\} \end{array}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \triangleright C_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \triangleright C_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau_3 \triangleright C_3}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{case } e_1 \textit{ of } e_2 \mid e_3 : Z \triangleright C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \{\tau_1 \equiv X + Y, \tau_2 \equiv X \rightarrow Z, \tau_3 \equiv Y \rightarrow Z\}} X, Y, Z \textit{ are fresh}$ 

#### (b) Determine a set of constraints *C* and type $\tau$ such that

$$\vdash \ \lambda x : A. \ \lambda y : B. \ (\#1 \ y) + (x \ (\#2 \ y)) + (x \ 2) \ : \tau \triangleright C$$

and give the derivation for it.

#### Answer:

$$C = \{B \equiv X \times Y , X \equiv \textit{int}, B \equiv Z \times W , A \equiv W \rightarrow U , U \equiv \textit{int}, A \equiv \textit{int} \rightarrow V , V \equiv \textit{int}\} \\ \tau \equiv A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \textit{int}$$

To see how we got these constraints, we will consider the subexpressions in turn (rather than trying to typeset a really really big derivation).

The expression  $\#1 \ y$  requires us to add a constraint that the type of y (i.e., B) is equal to a product type for some fresh variables X and Y, thus constraint  $B \equiv X \times Y$ . (And expression  $\#1 \ y$  has type X.)

The expression  $(\#2 \ y)$  similarly requires us to add a constraint that the type of y (i.e., B) is equal to a product type for some fresh variables Z and W, thus constraint  $B \equiv Z \times W$ . (And expression  $\#2 \ y$  has type W.)

The expression  $x \ (\#2 \ y)$  requires us to add a constraint that unifies the type of x (i.e., A) with a function type  $W \rightarrow U$  (where W is the type of  $\#2 \ y$  and U is a fresh type variable).

The expression x 2 requires us to add a constraint that unifies the type of x (i.e., A) with a function type **int**  $\rightarrow V$  (where **int** is the type of expression 2 and V is a fresh type).

The addition operations leads us to add constraints  $X \equiv int$ ,  $U \equiv int$ , and  $V \equiv int$  (*i.e.*, the types of expressions  $(\#1 \ y)$ ,  $(x \ (\#2 \ y))$  and  $(x \ 2)$  must all unify with int.

(c) Recall the unification algorithm from Lecture 16. What is the result of unify(C) for the set of constraints *C* from Question 3(b) above?

**Answer:** *The result is a substitution equivalent to* 

 $[A \mapsto int \rightarrow int, B \mapsto int \times int, X \mapsto int, Y \mapsto int, Z \mapsto int, W \mapsto int, U \mapsto int, V \mapsto int]$